22

23

24

25

26

27

28

HYDE & SWIGART San Diego, California Robert L. Hyde, Esq. (SBN: 227183) bob@westcoastlitigation.com

Joshua B. Swigart, Esq. (SBN: 225557)

josh@westcoastlitigation.com

Hyde & Swigart

411 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 301

San Diego, CA 92108-3551 Telephone: (619) 233-7770 Facsimile: (619) 297-1022

Attorneys for the Plaintiffs

FILED

2009 MAR -2 PM 4: 28

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT SEUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

POR

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Vanessa S. Allen, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated

Plaintiffs,

V.

Rickenbacker Collection Services

Case Num**(bg**r@V () 41 ()

[Class Action] Complaint for Damages and for Damages and Injunctive Relief Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227 Et Seq. (Telephone Consumer Protection Act)

Jury Trial Demanded

Introduction

1. Vanessa S. Allen, (Plaintiff), through her attorneys, brings this action for damages, and any other available legal or equitable remedies, resulting from the illegal actions of Rickenbacker Collection Services, ("Defendant"), in negligently, knowingly, and/or willfully contacting Plaintiff on Plaintiff's cellular telephone, in violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., ("TCPA"), thereby invading Plaintiff's privacy.

ORIGINAL



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Plaintiffs make these allegations on information and belief, with the exception 2. of those allegations that pertain to a plaintiff, or to a plaintiff's counsel, which Plaintiffs allege on personal knowledge.
- Unless otherwise stated, Plaintiffs allege that any violations by Defendant 3. were knowing and intentional, and that Defendant did not maintain procedures reasonably adapted to avoid any such violation.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. Jurisdiction is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2) because Plaintiff seeks up to \$1,500.00 in damages for each call in violation of the TCPA, which, when aggregated among a proposed class number in the tens of thousands, exceeds the \$5,000,000 threshold for federal court jurisdiction. Further. Plaintiff alleges a national class, which will result in at least one class member belonging to a different state. Therefore, both elements of diversity jurisdiction under the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 ("CAFA") are present, and this Court has jurisdiction.
- Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Southern District of 5. California pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and 1441(a) because the events giving rise to Plaintiff's causes of action against Defendant occurred within the State of California and the County of San Diego.

PARTIES

- Plaintiff is, and at all times mentioned herein was, an individual citizen of the 6. State of California, and resident of the County of San Diego.
- Defendant is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a business whose 7. primary address is 15005 Concord Circle, Morgan Hill, CA 95037, and is a nation-wide collection agency.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

27

28

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- At all times relevant, Plaintiff was an individual residing within the State of 8. California. Plaintiff is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (10).
- Defendant is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a business and a 9. "person," as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (10).
- 10. At all times relevant Defendant conducted business in the State of California and in the County of San Diego.
- Prior to the date this Complaint was filed, but sometime after four years prior to the date this Complaint was filed, Plaintiff telephoned Defendant using her cellular telephone, and spoke with a representative of Defendant.
- At this time, and without the knowledge or consent of Plaintiff, Defendant 12. "trapped" Plaintiff's telephone number for future use, by notating the number on its "Caller ID" system, or through similar electronic means.
- Subsequently, on multiple occasions over numerous days, Defendant 13. contacted Plaintiff on Plaintiff's cellular telephone via an "automatic telephone dialing system," as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227 (a)(1).
- During these telephone calls Defendant used "an artificial or prerecorded 14. voice" as prohibited by 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A).
- 15. The telephone number Defendant called was assigned to a cellular telephone service for which Plaintiff incurs a charge for incoming calls pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1).
- These telephone calls constituted calls that were not for emergency purposes 23 **16**. as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A)(i). 24
- Plaintiff did not provide express consent to receive calls on Plaintiff's cellular 25 17. telephone, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A). 26
 - These telephone calls by Defendant to Plaintiff on her cellular telephone were 18. in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 19. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and on behalf of and all others similarly situated ("The Class and The Subclass").
- Plaintiff represents, and is a member of, "The Class," consisting of all persons 20. within the United States who received any telephone call from Defendant to said person's cellular telephone made through the use of any automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice, within the four years prior to the filing of this Complaint.
- Plaintiff represents, and is a member of, "The Class," consisting of all persons 21. within the United States who received any telephone call from Defendant to said person's cellular telephone made through the use of any automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice, within the four years prior to the filing of this Complaint.
- Plaintiff also represents, and is a member of, "The Subclass," consisting of all 22. persons within the United States who received any telephone call from Defendant to said person's cellular telephone made through the use of any automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice, and such Subclass member had not provided their cellular telephone number to Defendant's assignee(s) - the original creditor(s) for whom Defendant was attempting to collect a debt with the cellular telephone call – in any application for services or credit, within the four years prior to the filing of this Complaint.
- Defendant, its employees and agents are excluded from The Class and The 23. Plaintiff does not know the number of members in The Class and Subclass. The Subclass, but believes The Class and The Subclass Members number in the tens of thousands, if not more. Thus, this matter should be certified as a Class action to assist in the expeditious litigation of this matter.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Plaintiff and members of The Class and The Subclass were harmed by the acts 24. of Defendant in at least the following ways:
 - (i) Defendant illegally contacted Plaintiff and Class and The Subclass members via their cellular telephones thereby causing Plaintiff and Class and Subclass members to incur certain cellular telephone charges or reduce cellular telephone time for which Plaintiff and Class and Subclass members previously paid, by having to retrieve or administer messages left by Defendant during those illegal calls, and invading the privacy of said Plaintiff and Class and Subclass members. Plaintiff and The Class and Subclass were damaged thereby.
- This suit seeks only damages and injunctive relief for recovery of economic 25. injury on behalf of The Class and The Subclass and it expressly is not intended to request any recovery for personal injury and claims related thereto. Plaintiff reserves the right to expand The Class and The Subclass definitions to seek recovery on behalf of additional persons as warranted as facts are learned in further investigation and discovery.
- The joinder of the Class and Subclass members is impractical and the 26. disposition of their claims in the Class action will provide substantial benefits both to the parties and to the court. The Class and The Subclass can be identified through Defendant's records.
- There is a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law and fact 27. involved affecting the parties to be represented. The questions of law and fact to the Class and Subclass predominate over questions which may affect individual Class and Subclass members, including the following:
 - a. Whether, within the four years prior to the filing of this Complaint, Defendant made any call (other than a call made for emergency purposes or made with the prior express consent of the called party) to a Class member using any automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or

- prerecorded voice to any telephone number assigned to a cellular telephone service.
- b. Whether, within the four years prior to the filing of this Complaint, Defendant made any call (other than a call made for emergency purposes or made with the prior express consent of the called party) to the Subclass member using any automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice to any telephone number assigned to a cellular telephone service and such Subclass member had not provided their cellular telephone number to Defendant's assignee(s), the original creditor(s) for whom Defendant was attempting to collect a debt with the cellular telephone call, in any application for services or credit.
- c. Whether Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass were damaged thereby, and the extent of damages for such violation; and
- d. Whether Defendant should be enjoined from engaging in such conduct in the future.
- 28. As a person that received numerous calls using an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice, without Plaintiff's express prior consent, and as a person that has never provided her cellular telephone number to Defendant's assignee(s) of the debt for which Defendant was attempting to collect, in any application for credit or services, Plaintiff is asserting claims that are typical of the Class and Subclass. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the Class and Subclass in that Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to any member of the Class and Subclass.
- 29. Plaintiff and the members of the Class and Subclass have all suffered irreparable harm as a result of the Defendant's unlawful and wrongful conduct. Absent a class action, the Class and Subclass will continue to face the potential for irreparable harm. In addition, these violations of law will be

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

allowed to proceed without remedy and Defendant will likely continue such
illegal conduct. Because of the size of the individual Class and Subclass
member's claims, few, if any, Class and Subclass members could afford to
seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein.

- Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in handling class action claims and 30. claims involving violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act.
- 31. A class action is a superior method for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Class-wide damages are essential to induce Defendant to comply with federal and California law. The interest of Class members in individually controlling the prosecution of separate claims against Defendant is small because the maximum statutory damages in an individual action for violation of privacy are minimal. Management of these claims is likely to present significantly fewer difficulties than those presented in many class claims.
- 32. Defendant has acted on grounds generally applicable to the Class and Subclass, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief and corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the Class and Subclass as a whole.

CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I

NEGLIGENT VIOLATIONS OF THE TCPA

47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.

- Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this 33. Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 34. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple negligent violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 35. As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq, Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass Members are entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass Members are also entitled to and seek 36. injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

COUNT II

KNOWING AND/OR WILLFUL VIOLATIONS OF THE TCPA 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEO.

- Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this 37. Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and 38. multiple knowing and/or willful violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.
- As a result of Defendant's knowing and/or willful violations of 47 U.S.C. § 39. 227 et seq., Plaintiff and each of the Class and Subclass Members are entitled to treble damages, as provided by statute, up to \$1,500.00, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).
- 40. Plaintiff and the Class and Subclass Members are also entitled to and seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court grant Plaintiff and The Class and Subclassmembers the following relief against Defendant:

25

26

27

28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

COUNT I

NEGLIGENT VIOLATION OF THE TCPA

47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.

- As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1), 41. Plaintiff seeks for himself and each Class and Subclass Member \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(A), injunctive relief prohibiting such 42. conduct in the future.

COUNT II

KNOWING AND/OR WILLFUL VIOLATION

OF THE TCPA, 47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.

- 43. As a result of Defendant's willful and/or knowing violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1), Plaintiff seeks for himself and each Class and Subclass Member treble damages, as provided by statute, up to \$1,500.00 for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).
- Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(A), injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to the seventh amendment to the Constitution of the United States of 45. America, Plaintiffs are entitled to, and demand, a trial by jury.

Date: 2/20/09

Hyde & Swigart

Robert L. Hyde

Attorneys for the Plaintiffs

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Vanessa S. Allen, on Behalf of herself and all others similar situated		DEFENDANTS		
		arly Rickenbacker C	Collection Services	2009 HAR -2 PH 4:
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff San Diego			CLERK US District of
(E	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES	ONLY)
			D CONDEMNATION CASES, U	
		LAND	INVOLVED.	Y.
(c) Attorney's (Firm Nam	e, Address, and Telephone Number)	Attorneys (If Known)		/
Hyde & Swigart	•		09 CV 0 41 () i Dor
	South Suite 301, San Diego, CA 921	08	00 04 0 4 1 () L FUI
	OICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	VDIogo on "Y" in One Bay for Divinite
		(For Diversity Cases Only)	RESCITATE LAKITES	and One Box for Defendant)
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party) 		TF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated or P of Business In Th	
2 U.S. Government	¥ 4 Diversity	Citizen of Another State	2 📈 2 Incorporated and	Principal Place 🗇 5 🗇 5
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		of Business In	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Citizen or Subject of a	3 G 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
TT7 - LT / PTTT		Foreign Country	.6	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORFITURE OF NATITY	DAME DURTON	OTHER COLUMN
O 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJUI	FORFEITURE/PENALTY RY 610 Agriculture	BANKRUPTCY ☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES
🗖 120 Marine	🗇 310 Airplane 🔲 362 Personal Injury	7- 🗇 620 Other Food & Drug	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal	 □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust
□ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Med. Malpracti Liability ☐ 365 Personal Injury		28 USC 157	 430 Banks and Banking
140 Regulable instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment			PROPERTY RIGHTS	□ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander 🗖 368 Asbestos Person	nal 🗇 640 R.R. & Truck	□ 820 Copyrights	470 Racketeer Influenced and
D 151 Medicare Act D 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product Liability Liability	 650 Airline Regs. 660 Occupational 	830 Patent 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPE		LJ 840 I rademark	U 490 Cable/Sat TV
(Excl. V eterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fraud	☐ 690 Other	00.041.1.00	☐ 810 Selective Service
of V eteran's Benefits	Liability 371 Truth in Lendin 350 Motor Vehicle 380 Other Personal		SOCIAL SECURITY B 861 HIA (1395ff)	□ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
🗖 160 Stockholders' Suits	🗖 355 Motor Vehicle Property Dama ag	ge Act	D 862 Black Lung (923)	☐ 875 Customer Challenge
■190 Other Contract ■ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability		☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	12 USC 3410 390 Other Statutory Actions
🗖 196 Franchise	Injury	& Disclosure Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	391 Agricultural Acts
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITION		FEDERAL TAX SUITS	892 Economic Stabilization Act
220 Foreclosure	U 442 Employment Sentence	ate 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act
🗖 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	O 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus:	Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	🗇 895 Freedom of Information
🗇 240 Torts to Land 🗗 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations 530 General 535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION	26 USC 7609	Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination
290 All Other Real Property	🗖 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - 💆 540 Mandamus & O		1	Under Equal Access
	Employment 550 Civil Rights 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 555 Prison Conditio	☐ 463 Habeas Corpus		to Justice
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 555 Prison Conditio	on Alien Detainee 465 Other Immigration		 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	440 Other Civil Rights	Actions		
🔭 1 Original 🔲 2 R	an "X" in One Box Only) emoved from	U 4 Reminance of U) anoth	sferred from 6 Multidist	
Proceeding S	tate Court Appellate Court Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you U.S.C. § 227 et Seq	are filing (Do not cite jurisdiction	(fr.) Lingano:	" Independent
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON Brief description of cause:	26:1332 KR	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	ON DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	y if demanded in complaint: D: ■Yes ①No
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	SE(S) (See instructions): JUDGE	_	DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE	SIGNATURE OF A	ATTORNEY RECORD		
2/24/19	1/1	//	1//	
FOR OFFICE PSE ONLY			1	
	\			
RECEIPT # 10002	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	IDGE

ORIGINAL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO DIVISION

160602 - MB * * C O P Y * * March 02, 2009 16:34:33

Civ Fil Non-Pris

USAO #.: 09CV0410 CIVIL FILING

Judge..: M. JAMES LORENZ

Amount.:

\$350.00 CK

Check#.: 2905

Total-> \$350.00

FROM: VANESSA S ALLEN VS

RICKENBACKER COLLECTION SERVIC